

Public Notice.

Public Notice.

Public Notice.

Public Notice.

Public Notice.

Public Notice.

Public Notice.

OFFICIAL CANVASS

OF THE

CITY OF NEW YORK.

Election November 2, 1897.

Statement of the Board of
County Canvassers of the
County of New YorkIn relation to votes given for
JUDGES CITY COURT,
SHERIFF,
COUNTY CLERK,
REGISTER,
DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

The Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York, having met in the Chamber of the Board of Aldermen of the City of New York on the 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th and 20th days of November, 1897, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several Election Districts of said County, at the General Election held on the 2d day of November, in the year aforesaid, DO CERTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

For Judges City Court.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of JUDGE OF THE CITY COURT was six hundred and seven thousand four hundred and four..... 607,404

Of which JOHN HENRY MCCARTHY received one hundred and fifty thousand seven hundred and seventy-one..... 157,771

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty thousand six hundred and thirty-five..... 152,613

Of which S. STANWOOD MENCKEN received sixty thousand three hundred and thirty-nine..... 60,329

Of which JOSEPH PETERSON received sixty-six thousand and twenty-three..... 66,023

Of which HENRY C. DOTY received sixty-two thousand seven hundred and sixty-five..... 62,765

Of which ELISHA K. CAMP received sixty-two thousand one hundred and forty-seven..... 62,147

Of which RUDOLPH KATZ received ten thousand six hundred and fifty-five..... 10,655

Of which RICHARD FORKLE received ten thousand four hundred and fifty-five..... 10,451

Of which LOUIS LEVINE received one thousand one hundred and sixty-four..... 1,164

Of which JAMES H. HARDY received seven hundred and thirty-four..... 734

Of which THOMAS H. EASTON received seven hundred and four..... 714

Of which EZRA TUTTLE received one..... 1

And of which there were BLANK, eight hundred and twenty-six..... 826

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of JUDGE OF THE CITY COURT, was two thousand one hundred and twenty-six..... 2,126

For Sheriff.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of SHERIFF was three hundred and fifty-four..... 354

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Three thousand three hundred and twenty-nine..... 3,329

Of which GEORGE W. VON SCHLACK received six thousand three hundred and twenty-nine..... 6,329

Of which PAUL GOEPEL received five thousand six hundred and twenty-five..... 5,625

Of which RICHARD H. ADAMS received eleven thousand one hundred and fifty-eight..... 11,158

Of which HENRY LIGHTBOURN received ten thousand four hundred and eighty-seven..... 10,487

Of which JULIUS BERNSTEIN received one thousand three hundred and sixty-five..... 1,365

Of which CHARLES E. LATIMER received seven hundred and sixty-two..... 762

Of which JULIUS KINTZ received one..... 1

And of which there were BLANK, eight thousand four hundred and fifty-one..... 8,451

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REGISTER, was two thousand and eighteen..... 2,018

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of REGISTER was counted, was two thousand one hundred and twenty-six..... 2,126

For District-Attorney.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of DISTRICT ATTORNEY was three hundred and five thousand one hundred and forty-nine..... 605,149

Of which ASA BIRD GARDINER received one hundred and fifty thousand one hundred and eighty-six..... 150,186

Of which WILLIAM M. K. O'COTT received seventy thousand one hundred and two..... 70,102

Of which AUSTEN G. FOX received sixty-four thousand seven hundred and eleven..... 64,711

Of which LEON A. MALKIN received ten thousand four hundred and thirty..... 10,430

Of which JAMES A. GRAY received one thousand two hundred and twenty-three..... 1,223

Of which ALFRED L. MANNING received seven hundred and twenty-one..... 721

And of which there were BLANK, seven thousand seven hundred and seventy-six..... 7,776

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of DISTRICT ATTORNEY, was two thousand and eighteen..... 2,018

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of DISTRICT ATTORNEY was counted, was two thousand one hundred and twenty-six..... 2,126

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of SHERIFF was three hundred and fifty-four..... 354

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which EDWARD F. DWYER received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Of which THOMAS H. DUNN received one hundred and fifty-seven..... 157,765

Statement of the Board of
County Canvassers of the
County of New YorkIn relation to votes given for
MEMBERS OF ASSEMBLY.

The Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York, having met in the Chamber of the Board of Aldermen of the City of New York on the 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th and 20th days of November, 1897, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several Election Districts of said County, at the General Election held on the 2d day of November, in the year aforesaid, DO CERTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

For Members of Assembly.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE FIRST ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was five thousand and seventy-three..... 5,073

Of which DANIEL E. FINN received Three thousand two hundred and thirty-three..... 3,233

Of which JOHN P. WARD received Seven hundred and ninety..... 790

Of which MARTIN J. MOYER received Six hundred and ninety-four..... 694

Of which MICHAEL J. DOYLE received One hundred and seventy-eight..... 178

Of which CHRISTOPHER KAMPE received Thirty-four..... 34

Of which LARS LARSON received Ten..... 10

And of which there were BLANK, One hundred and thirty-four..... 134

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was Five..... 5

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was Sixteen..... 16

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE SECOND ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was seven thousand and thirty-one..... 7,031

Of which JAMES OLIVER received four thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight..... 4,888

Of which ISAAC E. BERNANT received one thousand three hundred and four..... 1,304

Of which MAX ECKSTEIN received one hundred and seven..... 107

Of which WILLIAM D. KELLAND received forty-nine..... 49

Of which DAVID MILLER received eighteen..... 18

And of which there were BLANK, six hundred and seventy-five..... 675

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was fifty-three..... 53

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was fifty-seven..... 57

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE THIRD ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was seven thousand, four hundred and seven..... 7,407

Of which DOMINICK E. MULLANEY received four thousand four hundred and thirty..... 4,430

Of which ANDREW H. SCORIE received one thousand three hundred and eighty-four..... 1,384

Of which WILLIAM ROBERT CLENDENING received eight hundred and thirty..... 830

Of which REINHARD LYNCH received two hundred and sixty-four..... 264

Of which FRANCIS FAIST received fifty-nine..... 59

Of which WILLIAM J. MONICKLE received twenty-six..... 26

And of which there were BLANK, four hundred and fourteen..... 414

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was forty-four..... 44

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was fifty-eight..... 58

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE FOURTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was seven thousand one hundred and seventy..... 7,170

Of which PATRICK H. ROCHE received three thousand four hundred and seventy-two..... 3,472

Of which EDWARD COTTER received one thousand and forty-three..... 1,043

Of which LOUIS H. LEVIN received nine hundred and six..... 906

Of which JOHN P. DENNY received eight hundred and fifty-five..... 855

Of which HOWARD BALKAM received five hundred and forty-one..... 541

Of which PATRICK H. MURPHY received two hundred and twenty-two..... 222

Of which ELISHA SHELLEY received ten..... 10

And of which there were BLANK, two hundred and twenty-one..... 221

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was twenty-nine..... 29

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was thirty-three..... 33

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE FIFTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was eight thousand and eighty-two..... 8,082

Of which WILLIAM ASTOR CHANLER received three thousand four hundred and fifty..... 3,451

Of which JOHN H. DOBBS received two thousand one hundred and forty-seven..... 2,147

Of which GEORGE L. WILCOX received two thousand and twenty-seven..... 2,027

Of which EMIL KNEEL received sixty-four..... 64

Of which GEORGE R. SALB received thirty..... 30

Of which E. SCHIER received one..... 1

And of which there were BLANK, three hundred and sixty-two..... 362

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was twenty-two..... 22

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was thirty-five..... 35

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE SIXTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was eight thousand two hundred and eighty..... 8,280

Of which TIMOTHY P. SULLIVAN received five thousand and five..... 5,005

Of which JOHN MORAN received one thousand nine hundred and forty-nine..... 1,949

Of which JACOB LUTZ received eight hundred and twenty-nine..... 829

Of which RICHARD KUNDEL received two hundred and eighty-four..... 284

Of which JOHN GLOVER received sixteen..... 16

Of which JAMES E. MARSH received one..... 1

And of which there were BLANK, two hundred and sixty-two..... 262

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was thirty-eight..... 38

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was twenty-six..... 26

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE SEVENTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was eight thousand three hundred and fifty..... 8,350

Of which JOHN P. WARD received one thousand nine hundred and forty-nine..... 1,949

Of which JOHN WATSON received one thousand two hundred and fifty-eight..... 1,258

Of which JAMES E. WYNNAN received one hundred and ninety..... 190

Of which ROBERT BLUMENTHAL received fifty-four..... 54

Of which FITZHUGH SMITH received forty-six..... 46

And of which there were BLANK, four hundred and fifty-one..... 451

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was twenty-four..... 24

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was twenty-eight..... 28

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE EIGHTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was four thousand eight hundred and seventy-four..... 4,874

Of which CHARLES S. ADLER received two thousand three hundred and ninety-nine..... 2,399

Of which PHILIP WISSIG received one thousand eight hundred and forty-one..... 1,841

Of which LAZARUS ADELSON received four hundred and forty-seven..... 447

Of which ARTHUR M'KEON received five..... 5

And of which there were BLANK, one hundred and eighty-two..... 182

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was twenty-three..... 23

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was twenty-five..... 25

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE NINTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was eight thousand five hundred and ten..... 8,510

Of which N. TAYLOR PHELPS received four thousand five hundred and fifty..... 4,550

Of which CONSTANT J. SPERCO received one thousand nine hundred and ninety-one..... 1,991

Of which PETER ZOLLER received one hundred and seven..... 107

Of which THOMAS LYNCH received twenty-two..... 22

Of which ROBERT ALEXANDER received forty-eight..... 48

And of which there were BLANK, one thousand seven hundred and forty-two..... 1,742

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was twenty-four..... 24

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was twenty-eight..... 28

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE TENTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was eight thousand and eighty-two..... 8,082

Of which JULIUS HARRINGER received three thousand five hundred and ninety..... 3,590

Of which JOHN J. FLYNN received one thousand two hundred and forty-two..... 1,242

Of which JOHN M. WANDERL received nine hundred and ninety-seven..... 997

Of which GEORGE DUSCH received one hundred and ninety-one..... 191

Of which WILLIAM SCHNEIDER received one hundred and forty-eight..... 148

Of which JOHN C. RACKETT received twenty-nine..... 29

Of which FREDERICK A. VICTOR received eight..... 8

And of which there were BLANK, one hundred and fifteen..... 115

Of which JEREMIAH J. SULLIVAN received two thousand two hundred and forty-seven..... 2,247

Of which DAVID KULL, JR., received seven hundred and eighty-five..... 785

Of which PHILIP SCHMITT received seven hundred and fifty-five..... 755

Of which VALENTINE A. SCHUTZ received two hundred and twenty-seven..... 227

Of which CHARLES C. AUSTEN received eighty-five..... 85

And of which there were BLANK, one hundred and ninety-eight..... 198

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY, was one hundred and twelve..... 112

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY was counted, was fifty-eight..... 58

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that the whole number of votes given for the office of MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY FOR THE ELEVENTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT was seven thousand and seven hundred and eighty..... 7,887

Of which MICHAEL H. BLAKE received one thousand six hundred and twenty-one..... 1,621

Of which GEORGE BURGESS received nine hundred and ten..... 910